

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP		Date
		3 JAN 1986
TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)	Initials	Date
1. EXO/DDA	EM	6 JAN 1986
2. ADDA		6 JAN 1986
3. DDA		6 JAN 1986
4. DDA REGISTRY		
5.		
Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

cc: D/OL

D/OP

D/OF

D/OTE

FOR YOUR INFORMATION.

done 1/6/86



DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FRC		Room No.—Bldg.
		Phone No.

804

 OFFICIAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)
 Prescribed by GSA
 FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT
ROUTING SLIP

TO:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
	1 DCI		X		
	2 DDCI		X		
	3 EXDIR		X		
	4 D/ICS				
	5 DDI		X		
	6 DDA		X		
	7 DDO		X		
	8 DDS&T		X		
	9 Chm/NIC				
	10 GC				
	11 IG				
	12 Compt		X		
	13 D/OLL	X			
	14 D/PAO		X		
	15 D/PERS				
	16 VC/NIC				
	17				
	18				
	19				
	20				
	21				
	22				
		SUSPENSE 15 Jan 86			
		Date			

Remarks

To 13: Please have appropriate response prepared (info ES if not for DCI signature).

Executive Secretary

03 Jan 86

Date

LEE H. HAMILTON, INDIANA, CHAIRMAN

LOUIS STOKES, OHIO
 DAVE MCCURDY, OKLAHOMA
 ANTHONY C. BEILSON, CALIFORNIA
 ROBERT W. KASTENMEIER, WISCONSIN
 DAN DANIEL, VIRGINIA
 ROBERT A. ROE, NEW JERSEY
 GEORGE E. BROWN, JR., CALIFORNIA
 MATTHEW F. MCMUGH, NEW YORK
 BERNARD J. DWYER, NEW JERSEY

BOB STUMP, ARIZONA
 ANDY IRELAND, FLORIDA
 HENRY J. HYDE, ILLINOIS
 DICK CHENEY, WYOMING
 BOB LIVINGSTON, LOUISIANA
 BOB MCEWEN, OHIO

THOMAS K. LATIMER, STAFF DIRECTOR
 MICHAEL J. O'NEIL, CHIEF COUNSEL
 STEVEN K. BERRY, ASSOCIATE COUNSEL

ROOM H-408, U.S. CAPITOL
 (202) 225-4121

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

PERMANENT SELECT COMMITTEE
 ON INTELLIGENCE

WASHINGTON, DC 20515

Executive Registry

86- 0001

December 27, 1985

RECEIVED
 FILE: 100-24

Honorable William J. Casey
 Director of Central Intelligence
 Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Casey:

Recent reporting and public commentary concerning the Agency's contractual arrangements with Dr. Nadav Safran at ^{Harvard} Howard University's Center for Middle Eastern Studies prompted me to ask the Committee's staff to discover the facts of the case and the general nature of the Agency's funding and policy approach to such external contracts with individual academics. Agency officials assisted fully in the interviews leading to the staff report.

I consider the issues raised by the Safran case to be important for academic institutions, for individual academics, and for the Agency. I believe the Agency recently has made some improvements in its handling of external research contracts with academics. I believe, however, that both these changes and the Agency's policy on external contracts with academic institutions and individual academics merit explication.

Accordingly, I request that you provide the Committee a comprehensive review of Agency policy on external research contracts with academic institutions and individual academics. I ask that in this review you address, inter alia, the following questions:

- What was the amount of FY 85 Agency funds spent on external research with academic institutions? With individual academics? By what directorates?
- How many institutions were involved? How many individual academics?
- What were the comparable funding amounts, numbers of institutions and individuals, and breakdown by directorate in FY 80?
- How are the funds actually transmitted to the recipients?
- Are funds ever distributed through other agencies of government?
- What is the proportion of funds directly distributed by the Agency to funds indirectly distributed?

-2-

- What conditions are normally part of an external research contract?
- Why does the Agency insist on non-attribution clauses in all contracts?
- What are the circumstances and conditions under which a contractor has access to classified material?
- How is the decision made whether or not to contract with the individual academic or the parent academic institution?
- For what purposes and for what general reasons is external research conducted? Please illustrate using examples of specific contracts with both institutions and individuals.
- How is it decided, and who decides, what topics ought to be studied by external researchers?
- What are the internal review procedures to determine whether satisfactory research on a suggested topic already exists in the public domain and to eliminate duplication of research within the Agency and within the intelligence community?

With best wishes, I am

Sincerely yours,



Lee H. Hamilton
Chairman